

**To:** Wilbur, Jennifer[Wilbur.Jennifer@epa.gov]  
**From:** Stalcup, Dana  
**Sent:** Wed 8/26/2015 5:01:54 PM  
**Subject:** Re: OMB Request for Information on Reviews of Gold King Mine

I will try to send soon

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 26, 2015, at 1:01 PM, Wilbur, Jennifer <Wilbur.Jennifer@epa.gov> wrote:

?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 26, 2015, at 12:23 PM, Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov> wrote:

1:30 or 2....

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 26, 2015, at 12:22 PM, Wilbur, Jennifer <Wilbur.Jennifer@epa.gov> wrote:

Dana,

Would you be able to share with me the charge documents or anything provided to DOI for their work? It would be going to OMB.

Thanks

Jen

**From:** Erwin, Andrew  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 26, 2015 10:19 AM  
**To:** Wilbur, Jennifer; Sebring, Meridith; Atkinson, Ephraim; Potts, Steve  
**Cc:** Ripley, Laura; Vanroden, Victoria; Beasley, Lynn  
**Subject:** RE: OMB Request for Information on Reviews of Gold King Mine

Thank you Jen.

OMB has a follow up question:

- While the DOI review is independent, does EPA have access to any Statement of Purpose, questions to be asked, or other documents that can be shared with OMB?

**From:** Wilbur, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 25, 2015 12:26 PM  
**To:** Erwin, Andrew; Sebring, Meridith; Atkinson, Ephraim; Potts, Steve  
**Cc:** Ripley, Laura; Vanroden, Victoria; Beasley, Lynn  
**Subject:** Re: OMB Request for Information on Reviews of Gold King Mine

Andrew,

Here is the response from OSRTI, the office that is on point for this part of the effort. ...

In addition to the IG review, there are two other reviews: the internal review and the independent review. We are unaware of any other reviews at this point. The internal review was for a much shorter timeframe and to quickly identify some of the history and key factors that led to the spill. The independent review is being led by DOI and the independence is intended to assure objectivity. While there may be some overlap with the internal review, the timing [60 days vs 7-10days] should allow for a deeper look into the factors and the incident (such as possibly interviewing more people, locating and reviewing more documents, etc.). Also, the findings of the internal review should help inform the independent review.

Please let us know if you need anything further.

Thanks

Jen

---

**From:** Erwin, Andrew  
**Sent:** Monday, August 24, 2015 3:05 PM  
**To:** Wilbur, Jennifer; Sebring, Meridith; Atkinson, Ephraim; Potts, Steve  
**Cc:** Ripley, Laura  
**Subject:** OMB Request for Information on Reviews of Gold King Mine

Hi all,

OMB has requested information on reviews of the Gold King Mine incident. OMB is currently aware of three reviews: the Inspector General's(IG) review, the review by the Department of the Interior(DOI) under the Bureau of Reclamation, and an internal EPA technical review.

While OMB understands that the IG would conduct a separate review, OMB is concerned about possible duplicative efforts (and duplicative costs) between the DOI and EPA reviews.

OMB is asking for:

1. A list of all ongoing and planned reviews in case there are other reviews OMB is not aware of.
2. A justification on the value and need for the two reviews with DOI and EPA.

Please let me know if you need more information.

*Andrew Erwin*

*OCFO-Office of Budget*

*(202) 564-1997*